### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: BRUCE S. SMITH, M.D.,

DEFENDANT-APPLELLANT,

V.

TRINA TRIDWELL,

CASE NO. 08 C 46

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE,

HON. HARRY D. LIENENWEBER

IN RE: BRUCE S. SMITH, M.D.,

**DEFENDANT-APPELLANT,** 

V.

SANDRA STERLING-AHLLA,

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE.

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Bruce S. Smith, MD, the defendant, appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit from the final judgment in a civil case and memorandum opinion and order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Dvision, entered in this case by Judge Harry D. Lienenweber on 8/27/08, which final judgment and memorandum opinion and order affirmed the 12/21/07 decision of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

The parties to the judgment are defendant Bruce S. Smith, MD, represented by Steven H. Jesser, Attorney at Law, P.C., 790 Frontage Road, Suite 110, Northfield, IL

60093, and plaintiffs Trina Tidwell and Sandra Sterling-Ahlla, both represented by

Darryl E. Robinson, 1505 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 200, Chicago, IL 60615.

Dated: 9/8/08

Signed: /s/ Steven H. Jesser Steven H. Jesser, Attorney at Law, P.C., Attorney for Appellant

790 Frontage Road, Suite 110

Northfield, IL 60093

### SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS INFORMATION SHEET

Include the names of all plaintiffs (petitioners) and defendants (respondents) who are parties to the appeal. Use a separate sheet if needed.

PLAINTIFF (Petitioner)  M.D. Bruce S. Smith/Appellant  Sandra Sterling-Ahlla/Appell  (Use separate sheet for additional counsel)  PETITIONER'S COUNSEL  Name Steven H. Jesser Firm Attorney at Law, P.C. Address 790 Frontage Road Suite 110 Northfield, IL 60093  Phone 847-424-0200  Phone Phone 773-955-4000  Other Information  District Judge Leinenweber Court Reporter K. Burgeson Ext. 5567 Date of Judgment Nature of Suit Code 422  Date of Notice of Appeal 9/8/2008  COUNSEL: Appointed Retained X Pro Se  FIEE STATUS: Paid X Due FIFP Pending U.S. Waived X  If State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability: Granted Details of Judge Pending  FIE State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability: Granted Denied Pending  If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:  If defendant is in federal custody, please provide U.S. Marshall number (USM#):	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION DOCKET NUMBER: 08cv46					
PETITIONER'S COUNSEL   RESPONDENT'S COUNSEL		PLAINTIFF (	Petitioner) v.	DEFE	NDANT (Respondent)	
Name   Steven H. Jesser   Name   Darryl E. Robinson	M.D. Bruc	e S. Smith/App	pellant	Sandra Sterling-Ahlla/Appell		
Name   Steven H. Jesser   Name   Darryl E. Robinson				l		
Name   Steven H. Jesser   Name   Darryl E. Robinson   Firm   Attorney at Law, P.C.   Firm   London, Robinson & Best   Address   790 Frontage Road   Suite 110   Northfield, IL 60093   Address   Phone   847-424-0200   Phone   773-955-4000      Other Information			(Use separate sheet f	or additional	counsel)	
Firm Attorney at Law, P.C.   Firm London, Robinson & Best   Address   790 Frontage Road   Suite 110   Northfield, IL 60093   Address   1505 East 53rd Street   #2W Chicago, IL 60615   Phone   847-424-0200   Phone   773-955-4000		PETITIO	NER'S COUNSEL		RESPONDENT'S	S COUNSEL
Address   790 Frontage Road   Suite 110   Northfield, IL 60093   Address   #2W   Chicago, IL 60615    Phone   847-424-0200   Phone   773-955-4000      Other Information   Date Filed in District Court   1/3/2008	Name	Steven H. Jes	sser	Name	Darryl E. Robinson	
Suite 110   Northfield, IL 60093   Address   #2W   Chicago, IL 60615	Firm	Attorney at L	aw, P.C.	Firm	London, Robinson &	Best
Other Information  District Judge	Address	ddress 790 Frontage Road Suite 110		Address	#2W	
District Judge	Phone	847-424-020	00	Phone	773-955-4000	
District Judge					I	
Court Reporter K. Burgeson Ext. 5567 Date of Judgment 8/28/2008  Nature of Suit Code 422 Date of Notice of Appeal 9/8/2008  COUNSEL: Appointed Retained X Pro Se FEE STATUS: Paid X Due FIFP Waived X  Has Docketing Statement been filed with the District Court Clerk's Office? Yes No X  If State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability:  Granted Denied Pending If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:			Other In	formation		
Nature of Suit Code 422  Date of Notice of Appeal 9/8/2008  COUNSEL: Appointed Retained X Pro Se FEE STATUS: Paid X Due IFP Waived X  Has Docketing Statement been filed with the District Court Clerk's Office? Yes No X  If State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability:  Granted Denied Pending If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:	District Ju	ıdge	Leinenweber	Date Filed in District Court		1/3/2008
COUNSEL: Appointed Retained X Pro Se FEE STATUS: Paid X Due IFP FEE STATUS: Paid X Due Waived X  Has Docketing Statement been filed with the District Court Clerk's Office? Yes No X  If State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability:  Granted Denied Pending  If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:	Court Repo	orter	K. Burgeson Ext. 5567	Date of Ju	dgment	8/28/2008
FEE STATUS:  Paid X  Due  IFP  Waived X  Has Docketing Statement been filed with the District Court Clerk's Office?  Yes  No X  If State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability:  Granted  Denied  Pending  If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:	Nature of S	Suit Code	422	Date of No	otice of Appeal	9/8/2008
If State/Federal Habeas Corpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255), was Certificate of Appealability:  Granted  Denied  Pending  If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:		TUS:	Paid X	Due		IFP
		deral Habeas C	orpus (28 USC 2254/28 USC 2255),	was Certifica	ate of Appealability:	No X
If defendant is in federal custody, please provide U.S. Marshall number (USM#):	If Certificate of Appealability was granted or denied, date of order:					
	If defendant is in federal custody, please provide U.S. Marshall number (USM#):					

IMPORTANT: THIS FORM IS TO ACCOMPANY THE SHORT RECORD SENT TO THE CLERK OF THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULE 3(A). Rev 04/01

### **United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois**

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Harry D. Leinenweber	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	08 C 46	DATE	8/27/2008
CASE TITLE	In Re: In Re: Bruce S. Smith, Defendant-Appellee v. Trina Tidwell, Plaintiff-Appellant and Sandra Sterling-Ahlla, Plaintiff-Appellant		

### DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

ENTER MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER:	The Court affirms the decision of the Bankruptcy
Court in all respects.	

Docketing to mail notices. Mailed AO 450 form

Courtroom Deputy Initials:	WAP

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: BRUCE S. SMITH, M.D.,

Defendant-Appellant,

v.

TRINA TIDWELL,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

IN RE: BRUCE S. SMITH, M.D.,

Defendant-Appellant,

v.

SANDRA STERLING-AHLLA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

Case No. 08 C 46

Hon. Harry D. Leinenweber

### MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This is an appeal by the Debtor-Appellant Bruce S. Smith of the decision of the Bankruptcy Court to Vacate a Prior Discharge in Bankruptcy of Appellee's claims and to allow their state court claims to proceed.

### I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On September 26, 2005, Bruce S. Smith (hereinafter, "Smith" or "Appellant"), the Appellant, filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy case which resulted in a discharge order entered on January 17, 2006. Prior to the bankruptcy filing, the two Appellees, Sandra Sterling-Ahlla (hereinafter, "Sterling-Alla") and Trina Tidwell

(hereinafter, "Tidwell") (collectively, "Appellees") had filed separate suits against Smith in state court, each alleging the intentional tort of sexual assault. While Sterling-Ahlla's and Tidewell's suits were identified in the Debtor's Statement of Financial Affairs on the Bankruptcy Petition, their claims were not listed on Schedule F, the List of Unsecured Creditors, so neither received notice from the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court of the filing of the bankruptcy petition. The first indication that Appellees received that there was a bankruptcy on file was as a result of motions filed by Smith's state court attorneys on December 23, 2005, seeking to have the state cases transferred to the state court's bankruptcy calender. Although the Bankruptcy Court had issued the usual automatic stay on September 27, 2006, Smith had made no attempt to enforce it or otherwise call it to Appellees' attention until the December 23 motion. The motion itself provided no information as to when the petition had been filed, when or whether there had been a creditors' meeting, or whether a deadline for objecting to discharge or dischargeability had been set. Under bankruptcy rules a debtor has 60 days from the conclusion of the Creditor's meeting to object to discharge or dischargeability. The meeting of creditors had, in fact, been held on November 8, 2005, without notice to Appellees, and resulted in a "no asset report," which meant that the deadline for objecting was January 8, 2006.

At the trial before the bankruptcy judge, Smith testified that his malpractice insurance carrier was providing a defense to the state suits under a reservation of rights without a commitment to pay any judgment that might be entered against him. He further testified that he had no intent to mislead Appellees and did not understand the difference between Schedule F and the Statement of Financial Affairs. However, he had filed a previous Chapter 7 petition in 2004 that had been dismissed on grounds of "substantial abuse." In the dismissed petition, Appellees had been listed on the Schedule F and had duly received notice of the filing of the petition. Smith's bankruptcy attorney, who prepared the petition, was with a firm that specialized in bankruptcy cases and was knowledgeable and experienced in Chapter 7 cases. He stated that the omission of Appellees' claims was his innocent mistake attributable to his heavy workload in anticipation of the Bankruptcy amendments that were to take effect in October 2005.

Appellees' attorney testified that he was out of the office on holiday at the time the state court motion to transfer was filed and did not receive actual notice until January 3, 2006. Appellees' attorney was not informed about the discharge deadline and had no way to figure it out without checking with the Bankruptcy Clerk's office to find out when the petitions had been filed and when the Creditors' meeting had been held.

On December 20, 2006, each Appellee filed motions in the bankruptcy case to allow their state court cases to proceed. On January 8, 2007, Appellees sought leave to file Adversary proceedings. The Bankruptcy Court consolidated the motions. The Appellees allege, first, that Smith committed intentional torts against them and should be exempt from discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(A)(6); second, that they did not receive notice in reasonable time to object to discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(c); and 11 U.S.C. § 727(D)(1); and, third, failing to list them in Schedule F was motivated by fraud. Smith defends on the ground that Appellees missed the deadline for objecting to discharge and dischargeability and denies that he intended to defraud Appellees.

The Bankruptcy Court held a trial and made Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, specifically that Smith intentionally filed a false bankruptcy petition and was guilty of fraud. The Bankruptcy Court also found that the actual notice was too late and unreasonably short to satisfy the due process rights of the Appellees. He therefore ruled that since the state cases were not liquidated, Appellees could proceed in state court and that Appellees' pending motions to seek dischargeability would be held in abeyance pending resolution of the state cases.

### II. DISCUSSION

On appeal to a district court the bankruptcy court's findings of fact, whether based on documentary evidence or oral testimony, shall not be set aside unless clearly erroneous and due regard shall be given where, as here, the bankruptcy judge had the opportunity to judge the credibility of the witnesses. Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rule 8013; In re O'Hearn, 339 F.3d 559, 564 (7th Cir., 2003). Here the court found that Smith had intentionally omitted scheduling Appellees, for the purpose of obtaining discharge of a debt that quite possibly was nondischargeable under Section 523 and which would not be honored by his malpractice insurance carrier. The court also found that Smith had the opportunity to and did review his petition prior to filing. The judge also believed that filing of the 2004 petition that was dismissed for abuse added to the evidence of fraud. These factual findings were based on the bankruptcy judge's observations of Smith's testimony at the trial and are not clearly erroneous. Since the evidence disclosed that Smith had lost his job, undoubtedly as a result of the alleged intentional tort, the reason for filing the bankruptcy petition must have been, at least in part, to avoid personal liability to Appellees. The Court therefore affirms the Bankruptcy Judge's finding of fraud.

Even if the Court did believe that the above findings of fraud were clearly erroneous, the bankruptcy judge's additional

conclusion as to lack of due process is compelling. Smith's bankruptcy lawyer never did notify Appellees of the filing of the bankruptcy petition. It was Smith's state court attorneys, defending him under a reservation of rights, and not his bankruptcy lawyers, that notified Appellees. It is important to note that they waited almost three months after the entry of the stay order to notify the state court and Appellees' state court attorney of the stay. In addition, the state court attorneys did not disclose to Appellees the date and result of the Creditor's meeting so they would have a chance of computing the deadline for objecting to discharge or dischargeability.

Reasonable notice has been defined by the Supreme Court as "notice reasonably calculated under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950). An important consideration is whether the inadequacy of notice prejudiced the creditor or, stated another way, whether the actual notice that the creditor received, gave the creditor sufficient time to take meaningful action. People of the State of Ill. ex rel. Hartigan v. Peters, 871 F.2d 1336, 1340 (7th Cir., 1989). Here Appellees received notice of the automatic stay two days before Christmas. Normally the automatic stay is entered at the time the petition is filed. Christmas and New Years are normally

a period of down time for lawyers and the attorneys representing plaintiffs in tort cases are not normally cognizant of the intricacies of bankruptcy deadlines. Thus the facts here are more compelling in finding a due process violation then those present *In re Walker*, 149 B.R. 511 (N.D. Ill., 1992). The Court therefore agrees with the Bankruptcy Judge that imposing the January 17, 2006 deadline for objecting to discharge or dischargeability would violate the due process rights of the Appellees.

Smith is not without recourse. He still has two more bites at the apple. He, of course, can defend the state court cases on the merits and if he wins he will owe Appellees nothing. If he loses the state court cases and judgments are entered against him in favor of the Appellees, he has the right to object to making the debts non dischargeable.

### III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, the Court therefore affirms the decision of the Bankruptcy Court in all respects.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Harry D. Leinenweber, Judge United States District Court

Dated: August 27, 2008

## **United States District Court Northern District of Illinois**

**Eastern Division** 

Bruce S. Si	mith, M.D.,	JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
	v.	Case Number: 08 C 46
Trina Tidw	vell, et al	
_	Verdict. This action came before and the jury rendered its verdict	e the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been t.
	sion by Court. This action came and a decision has been render	e before the Court. The issues have been tried or ed.
	BY ORDERED AND ADJUDG Court in all aspects.	GED that the Court affirms the decision of the
		Michael W. Dobbins, Clerk of Court
Date: 8/27/20	008	/s/ Wanda A. Parker, Deputy Clerk

Document 12

Filed 09/09/2008

Page 13 of 15

APPEAL, TERMED, VALDEZ

# United States District Court Northern District of Illinois - CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 3.2.2 (Chicago) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:08-cv-00046 Internal Use Only

Smith v. Sterling-Ahlla et al

Assigned to: Honorable Harry D. Leinenweber

Case in other court: USBC/ND, 05 BK 40196

Cause: 28:0158 Notice of Appeal re Bankruptcy Matter

(BAP)

Date Filed: 01/03/2008

Date Terminated: 08/27/2008 Jury Demand: None

Notice of Crite 122 Domler

Nature of Suit: 422 Bankruptcy Appeal

(801)

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

### **Appellant**

M.D. Bruce S. Smith

### represented by Steven H. Jesser

Attorney at Law, P.C. 790 Frontage Road

Suite 110

Northfield, IL 60093 (847)424-0200

Email: shj@sjesser.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

### **Appellee**

Sandra Sterling-Ahlla

### represented by Darryl E. Robinson

London, Robinson & Best 1505 East 53rd Street

#2W

Chicago, IL 60615 (773) 955-4000

Email: darryl\_robins06@hotmail.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

#### Service List

### represented by United States Trustee

Office of the United States Trustee

227 West Monroe Street

**Suite 3350** 

Chicago, IL 60606

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

### Jack B Schmetterer

United States Bankruptcy Court

219 South Dearborn

Chicago , IL 60604 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Page 14 of 15

### Kenneth S. Gardner

United States Bankruptcy Court Northern District of Illinois 219 South Dearborn Street Chicago , IL 60604 (312)435-5654 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

<b>Date Filed</b>	#	Docket Text	
01/03/2008	1	APPEAL from U.S. Bankruptcy Court case number 05BK40196 consisting of one volume(s) of pleadings, transmittal letter and docket sheet. (Judge Schmetterer) (Document not scanned.) (hp, ) (Entered: 01/04/2008)	
01/03/2008	<u>2</u>	CIVIL Cover Sheet (hp, ) (Entered: 01/04/2008)	
01/04/2008		MAILED letter pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 8007(b) to attorneys of record. (hp, ) (Entered: 01/04/2008)	
01/15/2008	<u>3</u>	MINUTE entry before Judge Harry D. Leinenweber: The Bankruptcy Record on Appeal was docketed for this case on 1/3/2008. The Court orders the following briefing schedule. Appellant's brief due 3/13/2008. Appellee's response due 4/10/2008. Appellant's reply due 4/24/2008. The Court will rule by mail.Mailed notice (wp, ) (Entered: 01/15/2008)	
03/13/2008	4	APPELLANT'S Brief by Bruce S. Smith (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 09-17-07 Transcript)(Jesser, Steven) (Entered: 03/13/2008)	
04/14/2008	<u>5</u>	SUPPLEMENT by Bruce S. Smith to bankruptcy appeal 1; Brief of Appellee Trina Tidwell with notice of filing (07A11). (ca, ) (Entered: 04/17/2008)	
04/14/2008	<u>6</u>	SUPPLEMENT by Sandra Sterling-Ahlla to bankruptcy appeal 1 Brief of Appellee Sandra Sterling-Ahlla with Notice of Filing. (Poor Quality Original - Paper Document on File.) (kj, ) (Entered: 04/22/2008)	
08/27/2008	7	MINUTE entry before the Honorable Harry D. Leinenweber: Enter Memorandum Opinion and Order: The Court affirms the decision of the Bankruptcy Court in all respects. Mailed (vmj, ) (Entered: 08/28/2008)	
08/27/2008	<u>8</u>	MEMORANDUM Opinion and Order Signed by the Honorable Harry D. Leinenweber on 8/27/2008.(vmj, ) (Entered: 08/28/2008)	
08/27/2008	9	ENTERED JUDGMENT (vmj, ) (Entered: 08/28/2008)	
08/27/2008		(Court only) ***Civil Case Terminated. (vmj, ) (Entered: 08/28/2008)	
09/08/2008	<u>10</u>	NOTICE of appeal by Bruce S. Smith regarding orders 8, 7, 9 Filing fee \$ 455, receipt number 07520000000003089312. (Jesser, Steven) (Entered: 09/08/2008)	

09/08/2008		DESIGNATION by Bruce S. Smith of record on appeal (Jesser, Steven) (Entered: 09/08/2008)
------------	--	--